

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49851 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2025 Thana- PARWALPUR District- Nalanda

Hira Kumar S/o Sri Dhuri Saw Resident of village- Tharthari Bazar, P.S.-
Tharthari, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 Heard Mr. Vijay Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Bharat Bhusha, learned APP representing
the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with
Parwalpur P.S. Case No. 29 of 2025 for the offence punishable
under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act, lodged on
28.02.2025 by the informant Pappu Kumar Singh.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that in course of investigation of Parwalpur P.S. Case No. 28 of
2025, the petitioner was arrested, he made confessional
statement where after Md. Mumtaj and Md. Saddam Quaraishi
were arrested and on their confession, the under constructed
dam was raided from where two country made pistols and live
cartridges recovered/seized. This led to the F.I.R.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the recovery/seizure is on the confession of Md. Mumtaj and Md. Saddam Quaraishi though he concede that he gave the name to the two accused in course of investigation.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for bail submitting that beside the fact that on his confession, two accused were picked up and on whose confession the recovery took place, paragraph no.3 of the petition shows that the petitioner is accused in number of cases at Ekangarsarai Police Station and Parwalpur Police Station.

6. Taking into account the submission of the parties as also his criminal antecedent and the confession led to ultimately recovery of the arms, in that background, for the present, this Court is not inclined to extend him the privilege of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

