

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48950 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-568 Year-2024 Thana- MALSALAMI District- Patna

Jai Prakash Sharma S/O Sanjay Sharma R/O Noorpur, Rikabganj, P.O.- Katra
Bazar, Samiti, Simli Murarpur, P.S.- Maalsalami, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Bhardwaj

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umanath Mishra

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 31-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Maalsalami P.S. Case No. 568 of 2024, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 85, 140(1), 61(2), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023
and Sections $\frac{3}{4}$ of the D.P. Act.

3. The informant, Preety Devi, who is the elder sister of
the deceased, lodged an FIR stating therein that the marriage of the
deceased was solemnized with the petitioner in the year 2023. The
customary presents were given at the time of marriage, but the
accused persons were adamant in their demand for dowry of Rs. 6
lakhs in cash and a motorcycle. On 12.12.2024, her sister informed
her that the accused persons were assaulting her and on the next day,
they murdered her sister and made the dead body disappeared.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
the petitioner, who is the husband of the deceased, never demanded



dowry and has falsely been implicated. A male child was born out of the wedlock of the couple, which shows the cordial relations between the husband and wife. His further submission is that the other co-accused persons, who are relatives of the husband (petitioner) have been granted anticipatory bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. The deceased died within a year of her marriage in her matrimonial house under suspicious circumstances. The elder sister of the deceased is the informant and one day prior to the occurrence, there was a telephonic conversation between the deceased and her elder sister, Preety Devi. The deceased apprised the informant that the accused persons, including her husband (the petitioner) were assaulting her with belts, fists, and slaps. The next morning, they murdered the deceased.

7. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased, he is not entitled to the privilege of anticipatory bail, which is hereby *rejected*.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

