

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53526 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2025 Thana- Rajeshwari District- Supaul

Mukesh Kumar Yadav @ Mukesh Kumar S/o Ramchandra Yadav R/o  
Village- Ratansar, Ward No 12, Dekuli, PS- Rajeshwari, Distt- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pintu Kumar Patel

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3      07-11-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Rajeshwari P.S. Case No. 14 of 2025 dated 26.01.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 103(1) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that there was enmity between his son, Ravi Kumar and the co-accused, Sanjay Mandal, Anmol Yadav and Shalen Yadav over monetary transactions. The above named accused persons threatened the informant's son to kidnap and kill him. It is further alleged that the informant's son had given money to the co-accused, Anmol Yadav but he did not return the same and in this regard, the accused persons were threatening the informant's son.



On 24.01.2025, the informant's son went to sleep on the *verandah* of his door and after that the informant's wife woke up at 1.00 A.M. and went to see cattle and saw that her son's mouth was stuffed with a muffler and his muffler was tied around his neck and he was hanging in the barn of the house. Thereafter, the informant's wife started crying then the informant went there and saw that his son Ravi Kumar was dead.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in his self-confessional statement. There is no eye witness of the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 04.03.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner. As per para 109, 147 and 163 of the case diary, it is mentioned that the deceased had illicit relationship with his sister-in-law (*bhabhi*) who is the wife of the co-accused, Ritesh Kumar. It is further submitted that due to alleged illicit relationship, the co-accused, Ritesh Kumar along with the petitioner killed the deceased which is evident from para. 178 of the case diary in which confessional statement of the petitioner is mentioned. The regular bail of the co-accused person has been rejected by this court vide order dated 18.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 49171/2025.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. The application stands rejected and the learned court below is directed to conclude the trial at the earliest.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

atul/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

