

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52263 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-182 Year-2019 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhagalpur

Jai Prakash Yadav @ Jay Prakash Yadav S/O Late Tulsi Yadav Vill.- Darouli,
P.S.- Balia, Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 28-11-2025 Heard Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 467, 468, 471, 406, 420
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation in the first information report
based upon the written complaint of Circle Officer, Jagdispur is
that upon inspection of Circle No.3 and 6 some irregularities
were found in the revenue records and disciplinary action as
also registration of an F.I.R. was ordered against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a Revenue clerk and he has been made an accused
in this case on account of some irregularities having been



committed in the revenue records for which he is not responsible. He has, however, submitted that disciplinary action has also been initiated against him and has pointed out that for an occurrence which has taken place on 27.04.2019, the first information report came to be lodged on 08.06.2019. Additionally, the learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner is an ailing person who has been shifted to Patna from Bhagalpur for treatment and he has remained in custody since 20.12.2023. It has further been submitted that in the present case after commitment, charges have been framed but till date no witnesses have been examined on behalf of the prosecution and hence, there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in the near future.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the grant of bail on the basis of allegations made in the first information report.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances and also considering the long period of incarceration of the petitioner, coupled with the fact of no substantial progress in the case making it impossible for the trial against him to conclude in near future, let the above named petitioner, who has no criminal antecedent, be released



on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M., XIV, Bhagalpur /concerned Court below in connection with Jagdispur P.S. Case No. 182 of 2019.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

