

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54261 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2025 Thana- KHUTAUNA District- Madhubani

=====

Pramod Yadav @ Bauna Yadav @ Bauna S/O Kari Yadav Village- Jhajpatti,
PS- Khutauna, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Yadav, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Khatauna P.S. Case No. 48 of 2025 dated 17.04.2025 corresponding to G.R. No. 660/2025 registered for the offences punishable under Section 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2), 324(4), 109(1) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons along with 10 unknown persons are alleged to have assaulted Bobby Kumar, in the meantime, the informant came to save him then they also assaulted and abused him. It is further alleged that the petitioner and the co-accused, Ajit Yadav



fired on the informant's son with intention to kill him, the bullet hit his son's right hand and right side of stomach due to which his son became unconscious and fell down.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. As per the injury report of the injured, the injured sustained two injuries in which one injury is simple in nature and second injury is stated to be grievous in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has six criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody in this case since 01.05.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Madhubani in connection with Khatauna P.S. Case



No. 48 of 2025, with a condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

