

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48645 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2025 Thana- Rangara District- Bhagalpur

Matish Kumar Tatma S/o Sri Nandlal Tatma R/o Village- Kothiya, Thana-  
Bardha, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      23-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rangra  
P.S. Case No. 39 of 2025 instituted for the offences under  
Section 137(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3 The prosecution case in brief is that the informant's  
15-year-old daughter Pooja Kumari went missing on 26.02.2025  
while cutting grass and could not be traced despite searches.  
After one day she called from a mobile number saying she was  
in Bhagalpur, but her phone switched off thereafter and she  
remained untraced.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the  
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that on perusal of the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, it appears that victim left her house on her own sweet will and she was a consenting party. Learned counsel further submitted that victim has also refused to undergo medical examination. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 21.03.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that that victim in her statement recorded in Section 183 of the BNSS has supported the case of the prosecution.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also Section 183 BNSS statement of the victim, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to



renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Alok Verma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

