

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1833 of 2017

=====

Parmeshwar Kumar Verma Son of Ram Sagar Mahto Resident of Village-
Khanjhapur, Post Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur,
District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Land Reform and Revenue Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Munger.
4. The District Collector cum District Magistrate, Begusarai.
5. The Additional District Collector cum Additional District Magistrate,
Begusarai.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Manjhaul, District Begusarai.
7. The Land Reform Deputy Collector, Manjhaul, District- Begusarai.
8. The Circle Officer, Cheriya Bariyarpur, District Begusarai.
9. Rajendra Mahto Son of Late Bhola Mahto, Resident of Village- Karor, Post
Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur, District- Begusarai.
10. Ram Chandra Mahto, Son of Late Lakshaman Mahto Resident of Village-
Karor, Post Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur, District-
Begusarai.
11. Ram Bahadur Mahto, Son of Late Lakshaman Mahto Resident of Village-
Karor, Post Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur, District-
Begusarai.
12. Upendra Mahto, Son of Late Naatho Mahto, Resident of Village- Karor, Post
Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur, District- Begusarai.
13. Mahendra Mahto, Son of Late Ram Gyan Mahto, Resident of Village- Karor,
Post Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur, District-
Begusarai.
14. Mahavir Mahto, Son of Late Laldhari Mahto, Resident of Village- Karor,
Post Office- Khanjhapur, Police Station- Cheriya Bariyarpur, District-
Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kundan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Birendra Pd. Singh, AC to SC-19
For Respondent No.9 to 10 :	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 27-06-2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for



private respondent no.9 & 10 and learned counsel for the State are present.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statements made in the counter affidavit by the respondent-State, particularly in para-4, his grievance has been redressed and, therefore, he prays that the present writ application may disposed of accordingly.

3. Since the petitioner contends that his grievance has been redressed, the present writ application is accordingly disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Prakash Narayan

U			
---	--	--	--

