

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58857 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-164 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Saharsa

Arjun Kumar Son of Madho Das Village- Sarahi, Ward no. 05/40, Ps- Sadar
(Saharsa), Dist- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 20-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Excise
P.S. Case No. 164 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable
under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that total 13.600
liters liquor was recovered from plastic sac.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge has been framed on 13.08.2025. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious
possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has got no concern
with the alleged recovery of liquor. The petitioner is in custody
since 23.04.2025 and has got five criminal antecedent. There is



no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. In compliance of the order dated 10.09.2025, a report dated 07.10.2025, with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that Cognizance has been taken on 25.07.2025 Charge has been framed on 13.08.2025 and trial has been started. One witness has been examined in this case

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as also present stage of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. The prayer is rejected with a direction to the Court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously, preferably within a period of four months. If the trial is not concluded within the period of four months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

