

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51064 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-248 Year-2021 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Vaishali

Navlesh Kumar @ Navlesh Kumar Singh S/O Paras Nath Singh @ Pars Nath
Singh R/O Village- Fatehpur, PS-Raghopur, Distt-Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bindhyachal Singh, Sr. Advocate Mr. Vipin Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Preeti Kumari, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 307, 302, 447, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per F.I.R., 8 named and 3-4 unknown persons fired upon father of the informant, as a result of which father of the informant died and thereafter this petitioner also fired upon



grandfather of the informant and the bullet hit the stomach of the grandfather of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the F.I.R. is falsified by the *post mortem* report. As per *post mortem* report, only one firearm injury has been found on the person of the deceased whereas in the F.I.R. it is alleged that 8 named and 3-4 unknown persons fired upon father of the informant. He further submits that as per F.I.R., this petitioner fired in the stomach of the grandfather of the informant, whereas in the injury-report and the *post mortem* report, no firearm injury was found in the stomach of the grandfather of the informant. As per post mortem report, he died after two months of occurrence due to septicaemia. Petitioner has got clean antecedent and is in custody since 23.11.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he fired upon grandfather of the informant.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, *post mortem* report and report of both the deceased as well as period of custody, let the above named petitioner be released on



bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned J.M. 1st, Vaishali in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 248 of 2021.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

