

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49165 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-320 Year-2025 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

=====

PUNIT KUMAR SINGH Son of Shri Rajmangal Singh Resident of Vill.-
Mathiyapur, P.O.- Shahpur, Danapur Cantt, P.S.- Danapur, Dist.- Patna
801503.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Nishu Singh Daughter of Surendra Singh R/o Vill.- Bishunpura, Kachnar,
P.S.- Raghunathpur, Dist.- Siwan, Bihar - 841210, Presently residing at G
Block, Alpha 2, P.S.- Gautam Buddha Nagar, Greater Noida - 201308.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Anshul, Sr. Advocate Mr. Nikhil Kumar Agrawal, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2025 Heard Mr. Anshul, learned senior counsel appearing

on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, learned

APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case

registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the

B.N.S..

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that informant met

this petitioner while both of them were working at I.C.I.C.I.

Bank, Patna and eventually, they came in love affair in the year

2021. It is alleged that since the year 2022 till 03.01.2025, on

the false pretext of marriage, this petitioner established physical



relations with the informant several times at different hotels and later on, refused to marry her.

4. It is submitted by learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. The entire prosecution case, as set out in the first information report, is out and out false, fabricated and concocted. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that informant is a major and she was in a relationship with this petitioner for the past three years. The relationship was consensual between two consenting adults and both of them enjoyed each others company for three long years. It is further submitted that only because the relationship could not continue and failed, the same does not amount to rape. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within



a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge (Rape and POCSO Act), Patna in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 320 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

