

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50987 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2025 Thana- HARSIDHI District- East Champaran

=====

BRAJESH PANDEY S/O BIDYANAND PANDEY @ Dityanand Pandey R/o
vill - Manikpur Saraiya, Matiyaraikothi, P.S.- Harsidhi, Distt. - East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 07-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Harsidhi P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025, instituted for the offences
punishable under Sections 317(2), 318(4), 336(3) and 3(5) of
the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that two stolen
Bolero vehicles have been recovered from the possession of co-
accused persons and on demand of papers, they failed to
produce any valid documents before the police.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner was not arrested on spot and name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused persons, namely Rajeshwar Das and Umesh Das and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is further submitted that no any stolen articles have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the petitioner is not the owner of the alleged vehicle, therefore, there is no occasion to sell the alleged vehicles to the co-accused persons. The petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court



below/concerned Court in connection with Harsidhi P.S. Case
No. 211 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

