

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48819 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-271 Year-2023 Thana- PAKARIBARAW District- Nawada

Pintu Choudhary S/o- Mahadeo Chaudhary @ Mahadev Choudhari Resident
of village- Iruri, P.S.- Pakribarawan, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The Sate of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh.1

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Pakaribarawan P.S Case No. 271/2023 dated 22.06.2023 registered for the offence punishable u/s 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 21.06.2023 at 10:00 P.M., the informant son went to the new house for sleeping there and there is a shop of motorcycle parts in the said house and on the next day i.e. 22.06.2023 at about 6:00AM, when the informant went there then he saw that main door of the house was open and his son was lying dead.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR, the name of the petitioner has sprung up on mere suspicion. Learned counsel has submitted that the informant is not the eye witness of the case. The petitioner has one antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 24.06.2023.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner by submitting that at the instance of the petitioner the weapons used in the murder of the deceased Ajeet Kumar was recovered from the agriculture field. It is further submitted that the petitioner shot the deceased and the post-mortem report also corroborates that the deceased succumbed to firearm injury. It is further submitted that the trial is going on and six witnesses have already been examined. Earlier the regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by this court vide order dated 23.07.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12927/2024.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the specific and heinous nature of the allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.



7. The learned trial court is further directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest
8. Accordingly, the application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

