

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57125 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- HASPURA District- Aurangabad

1. Sudhir Kumar @ Sudhir Paswan S/o Ranjit Paswan R/o vill - Chanhath, P.S.- Hspura, Distt.- Aurangabad
2. Randhir Kumar @ Randhir Paswan S/o Ranjit Paswan R/o vill - Chanhath, P.S.- Hspura, Distt.- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Chandra Bhaskar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Surendra Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Nitee Ranjan, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-11-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 103(1), 76 and 303(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that she had gone to attend nature's call on 16.03.2025 at 07:00 PM when Randhir and Ranjan came and started acting inappropriately, on alarm, her father-in-law, Ramshakal along



with Sri Bhagat and villagers came, when accused persons fled from the place of occurrence, thereafter her father-in-law along with other and Chandradeep, grand father-in-law of the informant, went to the house of the accused persons when Randhir assaulted Ramshakal by sword causing injury on head, thereafter Ranjan assaulted Chandradeep with *lathi, danda* and sword causing injury, further Vikash assaulted Chandradeep and Suresh by *lathi, danda* and sword causing injury, thereafter with the help of villagers, the injured were brought to the hospital where Chandradeep died on 17.03.2025 during the course of treatment.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioners are not alleged to have acted inappropriately with the informant when she had gone to attend nature's call nor petitioners are alleged to have assaulted Ramshakal or Chandradeep rather Randhir and Ranjan are alleged to have assaulted Ramshakar and Chandradeep and Vikash is also alleged to have assaulted Chandradeep and Suresh as recorded hereinabove



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners, but then are not in a position to rebut the submissions of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners neither are alleged to have acted inappropriately with the informant nor are alleged to have assaulted any of the injured.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Haspura P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

