

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49685 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2025 Thana- GAUTAMBUDHNAGAR District-
Siwan

=====

Prince Kumar @ Prince Kumar Singh S/O Nand Kishore Singh @ Nand
Kishor Singh Resident of Village- Gohpur, P.S- G.B. Nagar, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arpita Kumari D/O Tuntun Singh R/O Village- Gohpur, P.S- G.B. Nagar,
Distt.- Siwan.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Angad Kunwar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Madan Kumar, APP
For the Informant/s	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Shahi, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 19-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No. 127 of 2025 dated 31.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 333, 74, 75, 76, 351(2), 352 read with Section 3/5 of the B.N.S. and Sections 8, 12 and 17 of POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that the petitioner crossed the boundary wall and entered her house and pointed pistol in sleeping condition and started



teasing and tried to do wrong, further, the petitioner clicked picture when she was sleeping, thereafter, when she opposed, the petitioner assaulted and threatened her to kill and snatched her mobile phone. It is further alleged that the petitioner surrounded her younger brother and threatened him not to lodge a case and threatened to face dire consequence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The occurrence took place on 28.03.2025 but the FIR was lodged on 31.03.2025 i.e. the delay of three days and there is no explanation for this delay. Learned counsel has further submitted that there is nothing on record which shows that the victim was forced to have illicit relationship with another person. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 21.04.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the victim is minor.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-



named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Siwan in connection with G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No. 127 of 2025, with a condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

