

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2756 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2024 Thana- PUNPUN District- Patna

=====

Shashi Ranjan Kumar S/o Satyendar Singh @ Satendra Singh Resident of
Village- ChakPipra, P.S.- Pipra, Distt.- Patna

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sameer S/o Late Sarbanand Singh R/o vill - Barahiyakol, P.S.- Punpun,
Distt.- Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Piyush Kant Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Binay Krishna, Spl. PP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present appeal has been preferred against the impugned order dated 13.06.2025 passed in Spl. Case No.- 415/2024 arising out of Punpun P.S. Case No.-127/2024 dated 26.04.2024, for the punishable offences under sections 342/326/307/302/120(B) of Indian Penal Code and 27 of Arms Act & 3(2)V)(Va) of SC/ST Act which has been passed by the Learned Court of Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Civil Court (Sadar), Patna, whereby and where under prayer for bail of the appellant has been rejected in which the appellant is praying for setting aside the impugned order dated 13.06.2025 and for releasing the appellant on regular bail.



3. As per the prosecution story, the informant has alleged that while his brother had gone to attend a function, as he was returning, the unknown criminals shot at him as also his friend. They were rushed to the hospital where his brother was declared brought dead while his friend was fighting for his life. This led to the FIR.

4. Earlier, the Trial Court was directed to submit the stage of trial which has come vide letter no.260 of 2025 dated 16.10.2025, according to which 11 prosecution witnesses six have already been examined.

5. Mr. Bhola Prasad, submits that by now one more witness has been examined and now only four remains who are the official witnesses.

6. The Trial Court should see to it that the petitioner is in custody and as such, the same be expedited and all possible steps be taken to ensure that all the official witnesses comes and adduce their evidence.

7. So far as the prayer for bail is concerned, the order dated 12.12.2024 passed by this Court in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 4302 of 2024 (Shashi Ranjan Kumar vs. The State of Bihar) is elaborative and need no second thought.

8. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.



9. It is expected that the trial will be taken to its
logical conclusion preferably within a period of six months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

