

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51853 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-735 Year-2024 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR TOWN District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Sangeeta Verma W/o Pradip R/o Rambagchoudi, Musahri, P.S.- Mithapura,
District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akshay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2),
316(5), 316(4), 319(2), 318(4), 61(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.S.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
inadvertently at Para-3, the antecedent of the petitioner could
not be pleaded but then a supplementary affidavit has been filed,
wherein it has been specifically pleaded that petitioner is a
person with clean antecedent. The learned counsel submits that
from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would
manifest that the dispute is purely civil to which a criminal
colour has been given. It is further submitted that the informant



alleges that in the year 2021, the petitioner purchased goods worth Rs.1.62 core from his company and the goods were delivered to the petitioner and despite multiple reminder, the petitioner has failed to release the payment for the goods delivered to him. It is next submitted that petitioner is disputing the amount which has been recorded in the F.I.R. It is further submitted that if what has been alleged by the informant is true, in that event, he ought to have approached a Court of competent civil jurisdiction for recovering his amount, where petitioner could have appeared and rebutted his claim but then a criminal case came to be instituted, in order to coerce the petitioner into submission, so that she parts with the fanciful demand of the informant.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case



is pending/successor court in connection with Nagar P.S. Case
No.735/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under
Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

