

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52828 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-241 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Nalanda

1. Anil Chaudhary @ Ranvir Nayak S/O Ramadhin Chaudhary Resident of Village- Sipah, P.S- Deepnagar, Distt.- Nalanda.
2. Sarju Chaudhary S/O Late Bandhu Chaudhary Resident of Village- Sipah, P.S- Deepnagar, Distt.- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      05-08-2025                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per prosecution case, 311.985 litres of illicit liquor was recovered from the hut of the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of these petitioners and they are in no way connected with the aforesaid recovery.



Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned APP appearing for the State submits that petitioners are not entitled to the privilege of anticipatory bail in view of decision of the Full Bench of this Hon'ble Court rendered in the case of *Ram Vinay Yadav vs. The State of Bihar* reported in *2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089 (F.B.)*.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and aforesaid decision of this Court, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to this petitioners is rejected.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

Neha/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

