

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1381 of 2017

=====

Lalan Kishore Son of Late Baleshwar Singh Resident of Village-Wahidpur,
P.O. Sonmai, P.S. Gaurichak, District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Patna, District-Patna
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna
4. The Additional Land Acquisition Officer, Patna, District-patna
5. Sri Sita Ram Sao, Son of Ratan Sao, Resident of Village-Wahidpur, P.O. Sonmai, P.S. Gaurichak, District-Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Roy-Gp18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 17-11-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the

State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ in the
nature of CERTIORARI for quashing the
order dated 28.09.2016 passed by the
Respondent no.4 in L.A. Case No.110/2011-
12,whereby and where under he has been
pleased to withhold the payment of 20% of
the compensation amount to the petitioner*



against acquisition of his land of Khata No.353, Plot No.4559 on the basis of objection filed by the Respondent no.5;

(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, commanding and directing the Respondent Authorities for payment of withhold amount of compensation to the petitioner on the ground that if he was a valid title holder for payment of 80% compensation amount only because a title suit has been filed by the Respondent no.5, which is pending before the court for consideration when the title of petitioner's father was already declared by the court of competent jurisdiction in Title Suit No.236/9 of 1934/36;

(iii) for issuance of any other writ /writs, order/ orders, direction /directions for which the writ petitioner would be found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. Learned State Counsel submits that Title Suit No.



39 of 2013 which is pending before an appropriate Court.

4. This Court finds in the submission of the learned State counsel. However, since there is no appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

