

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1216 of 2017

Meera Devi Wife of Om Prakash Singh, Resident of village - Gopalpur Kothi,
P.S. Basantpur, District - Siwan Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate, Siwan, District Siwan Bihar
4. The District Programme officer, Primary Education and Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Bihar Education Project, Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Prabhakar Jha, GP-27
For the BEPC	:	Mr. Girijesh Kumar, Advocate Mr. Akash Anand, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

7 05-08-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Bihar Education Project Council are present.

2. It transpires to this Court that, vide orders dated 19.06.2018, 14.11.2024, 21.11.2024, and 12.12.2024, the matter has been adjourned at the instance of the petitioner. Once again, despite repeated calls, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the Bihar Education Project



Council and learned counsel for the State jointly submits that the counsel for the petitioner is not appearing in this case as the present matter has become infructuous. The present writ petition was filed for the engagement of the petitioner as *Bal Didi* or *Lok Didi*, which was essentially part of a policy. Counsel further submits that the said scheme has already been closed. In support of this argument, counsel refers to a matter decided vide order dated 26.02.2014, passed in CWJC No. 22252 of 2012 (***Anju Kumari & Ors. v. The State of Bihar & Ors.***), which states as follows:-

“Engagement of the petitioners as Bal Didi or Lok Didi was part of a policy, which was being run by the Bihar Education Project Council. The efficacy of such establishment was reconsidered and the project abandoned, if not shut down. If the engagement of these petitioners was on a contractual basis on a notional amount which they were getting for the period of work taken from them, there cannot be a direction to continue with the policy only to keep the petitioners busy and engaged under the respondents, more so when they do not have any statutory or constitutional right to do so.

Writ has no merit. It is dismissed.”



4. In light of the submissions made above and upon perusal of the record, the present writ petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

