

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50014 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-186 Year-2024 Thana- CHAUSA District- Madhepura

Dhirendra Mandal @ Dhirendra Kumar S/o Sri Shyam Mandal R/o Vill-
Tirasi, Ward No. 15, P.S.- Chausa, Distt- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sucheta Yadav, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Chausa P.S. Case No. 186 of 2024, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 328, 304B, 34 of the IPC.

3. As per the allegation, the informant's daughter was
married to the petitioner in the year 2018. After marriage, petitioner
along with other co-accused persons started torturing her for demand
of dowry and due to non-fulfillment of their demand, the deceased
died due to poisonous substance either given by the accused persons
or she consumed it herself.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has
falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that



deceased herself has consumed the poisonous substance and has committed suicide. The petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that before the death of the deceased they tortured the deceased due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. In this regard, matter was reported in the Gram Kachahri. The deceased died in her matrimonial house due to poisonous substance in circumstances which cannot be said to be normal.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances and also the fact that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased, he does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

