

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56848 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-2915 Year-2023 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

=====

Md. Amiruddin @ Amiruddin Mansuri Son of Rauf Mansuri Resident of
village -jagdishpur PS- Maker District -Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sadina Khatoon Wife of Md. Amiruddin @ Amiruddin Mansuri, D/o Manan
Miya Resident of village -jagdishpur PS- Maker District -Saran At P/A-
Village- Shekh Dumari, Ps- Nayagaon, Dist- Saran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Dr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Dr. Rajesh
Kumar Singh, Mr. Manish Chandra Gandhi representing the
informant as also Mrs. Shaheen Begun, learned APP.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Saran Complaint Case No. 2915 of 2023 for the
offence under sections 323, 379, 498(A) and 506 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act lodged
by the complainant, Sadina Khatoon.

3. As per the prosecution story, the complainant alleged
that the marriage was solemnized in the year 2017 and they had a
good relationship for six months. Later, there was demand of
dowry and failure to do so, the torture. The lady became pregnant
and gave birth to a female child in the year 2018. Finally, the
allegation is that in the year 2023, she was thrown out of the
house.. This led to the case.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the informant as also learned APP jointly submit that the matter is of the year 2023 and the observation of the learned Sessions Judge is on record that the process under Section 82 of the Cr.P.C. has already been issued as even the mediation at the district level failed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is serious about taking his wife and want to live a dignified life with her.

7. In view of the development that has taken place pursuant to the issuance of the 82 Cr.P.C., it would be appropriate that the petitioner seeks bail.

8. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

9. If, however, the petitioner really intends to keep his wife with dignity and honour, he should take appropriate steps and should surrender and seek bail which shall be taken up and disposed of on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

