

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11974 of 2025**

Om Prakash Son of Ajay Narayan Mahto, Resident of Village-Sultanpur Ghataho, Ward No. -07, P.O.-Ghatho, P.S.-Ghatho O.P., District-Samastipur, Bihar-848114.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University through its Registrar.
3. The Vice-Chancellor, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Registrar, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Controller of Examinations, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Chairperson of three men Inquiry Committee constituted vide letter no. - B/454 dated - 13.02.2024 by the respondent Vice-Chancellor.
7. The Principal, Shaheed Pramod B.Ed. College, Susta Madhopur, Muzaffarpur.
8. The Director/Secretary, Shaheed Pramod B.Ed. College, Susta Madhopur, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Jitendra Kumar, Advocate     |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar, ACC to SC (8) |
| For B.R.A.B.U.       | : | Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate   |
|                      |   | Mr. S.K. Karn, Advocate         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      25-09-2025                      After some argument, learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has brought to the notice of all the authorities concerned regarding the mass irregularities and maladministration in the college in question and pursuant thereto an enquiry has been conducted, however, till date the



enquiry report has not been submitted. In the meantime, the petitioner was rusticated for three years by the Principal of the college, but later on, pursuant to the direction of the Vice Chancellor of the University, the same has been withdrawn.

2. This Court, *prima facie*, is satisfied that the relief sought for in the present application cannot be entertained under the writ jurisdiction. However, considering the submission and the materials available on record, this Court deems it apt and proper to close the writ petition giving liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the Registrar of the University.

3. In case such a representation is filed, the Registrar of the University shall look into the matter and take appropriate decision, if the allegation raised by the petitioner is found true.

4. With the aforesaid liberty, the present writ petition stands closed.

**(Harish Kumar, J)**

K.C.Jha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

