

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50030 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2025 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

Manir Ansari S/O Late Jamir Mohammad @ Late Jamin Mohammad Resident
of Village- Banchiulli, P.S- Harsidhi, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for
grant of regular bail in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case
No. 136 of 2025 dated 30.03.2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(1),
340(2) read with Section 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant owns a
Bolero vehicle bearing Registration Number BR24P2685,
Chassis Number MA1PS2GAKA5B64204 and Engine Number
GAA4A28080. On 28.03.2025, while the informant was at
Turkauliya market, he came to know that another vehicle with
the same number was seen at Batrauliya Police Station,



Paharpur, whereas his own vehicle was parked at his house. The informant then started inquiring and found out that his vehicle's registration number, engine number and chassis number had been duplicated by Mustak Khan and Manir Ansari, along with others and illegally affixed on a stolen vehicle for use.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 01.04.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with



Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 136 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

