

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49352 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2024 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

1. Rashid @ Raseed, S/O Sahadat, Resident of village - Akarthapa, Ward No. 07, Police Station - Bhargama, District - Araria
2. Majhar @ Md. Majahar N Alam, S/O Hadish, Resident of village - Akarthapa, Ward No. 07, Police Station - Bhargama, District - Araria
3. Vakib @ Abdul Baki @ A. Baki, S/O Sabir, Resident of village - Akarthapa, Ward No. 07, Police Station - Bhargama, District - Araria
4. Taukir @ Tokir @ Md. Taukir Alam, S/O Imaruddin, Resident of village - Akarthapa, Ward No. 07, Police Station - Bhargama, District - Araria
5. Sufiyan, S/O Late Bakarid @ Bakhrud, Resident of village - Akarthapa, Ward No. 07, Police Station - Bhargama, District - Araria
6. Babar, S/O Jamil @ Md. Jamir, Resident of village - Akarthapa, Ward No. 07, Police Station - Bhargama, District - Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-08-2025 Heard Mr. Arun, learned counsel for the petitioners
and Mr. Upendra Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhargama P.S. Case No. 255 of 2024 instituted for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 308(2), 119(1), 305, 326, 352, 351(2), 76, 61(2), 191(2) and 190 of B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.



3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner no.3 has damaged the car of the informant. Petitioner nos. 2, 4,5 and 6 have looted the articles from the godown. There is no specific allegation against petitioner no. 1.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. There is also a counter version of this case. It has also been submitted that petitioners are having criminal antecedent but the cases are filed between the parties. The nature of allegation against the petitioners is not of non-bailable nature.

5.Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Bhargama P.S. Case No. 255 of 2024, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial



Magistrate 1st Class-cum-AM-VIII, Araria, subject to the
conditions as laid down under section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

