

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17122 of 2017

1. Navin Kumar Singh
2. Pravin Kumar Singh No. 1 & 2, both sons of Late Abhay Kumar Singh
3. Rajiv Kumar Singh
4. Rajesh Kumar Singh
5. Ratnesh Kumar Singh No. 3 to 5, all sons of Late Vijay Kumar Singh
6. Malay Kumar Singh
7. Vinay Kumar Singh No. 6 and 7 both sons of Late Nirbhay Kumar Singh All 1 to 7 are Residents of Village- Basuhar, Police Station- Kursela, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Katihar, At and P.O. Katihar, District Katihar.
3. The Land Reforms Acquisition Officer, Katihar, At and P.O. Katihar, District Katihar.
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Katihar, At and P.O. Katihar, District Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioners though learned State counsel is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

*“(i) For holding that the subject matter
land of this petition having been acquired by the
State of Bihar for rehabilitation of persons affected*



by erosion of lands of village Baghmara and Shermari by river Kosi way back in the year 1979-80 vide Land Acquisition Case No. 10/1979-80, non payment of compensation amount to the land holders (petitioners) is not a gross illegal act on the part of State and for that the State is liable to pay heavy compensation to the petitioners (land holders).

(ii) For holding that since while the matter of payment of compensation of acquisition of lands of the petitioners was still pending, the Land Acquisition Act has undergone sea changes as also rate of lands has also gone sky high, the petitioners (landlords) would not be entitled to compensation as has been prescribed by the amended Land Acquisition Act?

(iii) For commanding the Respondents to pay the petitioners (landlords) compensation for their lands @ 4 times over and above the prevailing market rate in the area together with compensation etc forthwith.

(iv) For holding that non-payment of



compensation of acquired lands of petitioners for such a long time is severely prejudicial to the interest of petitioners and caused pecuniary loss to them.

(v) For any other appropriate relief or reliefs to which the petitioners may be deemed entitled to.

3. The learned State counsel submits that for the redressal of the grievances, the petitioners should have to individually approach before the competent respondent.

4. The submission seems to be justified. However, since there is no appearance, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

