

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18461 of 2017

=====

Suresh Sahni Son of Raghu Sahni, resident of Village- Bazitpur Majhauri, P.S.
and Anchal Bochahan, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar,
Patna.
3. District Collector, Muzaffarpur.
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Muzaffarpur, East, District- Muzaffarpur.
5. Anchal Adhikari Bochahan P.S. and Anchal Bochahan District- Muzaffarpur.
6. Jagdish Sahni, Son of Jhansi Sahni.
7. Runku, Wife of Rakesh Sahni
8. Janiya Devi, Wife of Jagdish Sahni.
9. Indu Devi, Wife of Ram Lal Sahni.
10. Radha Devi, wife of Sita Ram Sahni. Respondent NO. 6 to 10 all residents
of Village- Karanpur, Bochahan P.S. and Anchal Bochahan, District-
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ application is filed for seeking the
following reliefs:-

*(A) To quash the order dated 08.07.2014 passed by
Anchal Adhikari Bochahan, District-Muzaffarpur a
copy of which is annexed as Annexure-3 to this writ
application by which he has directed to issue Baskit
parcha in the names of respondent nos. 7 to 10 in*



respect of the petitioner land detailed in para no. 4 of the petition situated in village-Karanpur, P.S. and Anchal-Bochahan, District-Muzaffarpur without notice to the petitioner.

(B) To pass any such order or orders as this Hon'ble Court think fit and proper.

3. The counter affidavit shows that the land was allotted to the landless after the inspection of the area where it was found that they are residing there after constructing their respective houses.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has genuine grievance for which he shall be approaching the competent Court.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that such liberty is always available to anyone much less the petitioner.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid submissions/facts, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum/Court.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

priyanka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

