

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47902 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-739 Year-2023 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

-
1. Indal Chandrabanshi S/o- Sri Kisun Chandrabanshi Village- Baraon , PS-
Nokha , Dist- Rohtas
 2. Shri Kishun Chandrabanshi S/o- Late Dargahi Chandrabanshi Village-
Baraon , PS-Nokha , Dist- Rohtas
 3. Sangita Devi W/o- Shri Kishun Chandrabanshi Village- Baraon , PS-Nokha ,
Dist- Rohtas
 4. Nilam Devi D/o- Shri Kishun Chandrabanshi, W/o- Ajay Chandrabanshi
Village- Tilai , PS- Sanjhauli , Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anita Kumari W/o- Ranjan Kumar, D/o- Ashok Chandrabanshi Village-
Morikap , PS-Sheosagar , Dist- Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-08-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioners and learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a
complaint case registered for the offence punishable under
Sections 323 and 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that marriage of
the complainant was solemnized with co-accused Ranjan Kumar
on 14.05.2022 as per Hindu rites and rituals. It is alleged that
after marriage, all the accused persons named in the complaint



petition, including these petitioners, subjected the complainant to cruelty and harassment due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and subsequently ousted her from her matrimonial house.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner No. 1 is *Bhaisur* (brother-in-law), Petitioner No. 2 is father-in-law, Petitioner No. 3 is mother-in-law and Petitioner No. 4 is married *Nanad* (sister-in-law) of the complainant. Petitioners are victim of over implication. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the affairs of the complainant and her husband. Thrust of accusation is against husband of complainant. Petitioners claim clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Sasaram, District- Rohtas in connection with Complaint Case No. 739 of 2023, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

