

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No. 2714 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-305 Year-2025 Thana- BARH District- Patna

Sonu Singh @ Sonu Kumar @ Karan Kumar, Son of Jairam Singh, Resident
of Mahammadpur, P.S.- Barh, District - Patna

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Lakshmi Devi, Wife of Laxman Paswan, Resident of village -
Mahammadpur Pani Tanki, Ward No.- 6, P.S.- Barh, District - Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate
Fro the respondent no.2	:	Ms. Moni Kumari, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl. P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 20-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned
counsel for the respondent and learned Spl. P.P. for the State.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST
Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide
order dated 25.06.2025 passed by the learned Exclusive Special
Judge, S.C./S.T. Act, Patna in connection with Barh P.S. Case
No. 305 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 329(3), 74 and 3(5) of the B.N.S. as
well as Sections 3(1)(s), 3(1)(r) and 3(2)(va) of the SC/ST Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the



respondent was at her house with her family members. On 07.05.2025 at 7:00 P.M., the appellant being armed with a gun entered in the house of the respondent, started teasing her. It is further alleged that the appellant also poured diesel and petrol over the respondent and tried to torch her. It is further alleged that she was being assaulted as well. In the last part of the F.I.R., it is alleged that the appellant has abused the respondent with caste name and has asked her to vacate the place.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He is innocent and has committed no offence. He has got no criminal antecedent. From the F.I.R. itself it is clear that the date of occurrence is of 07.05.2025 and the F.I.R. was lodged on 15.05.2025. There is delay of eight days in filing of the F.I.R. and the delay is not explained. It has also been submitted that no injury has been caused to any person. It has also been submitted that there is dispute of way between the parties. Parties are neighbors and the allegation of abusing with caste name was not in public view. The same has been added with a view to aggravate the allegations.

5. Learned Spl. P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the respondent have vehemently opposed the appeal for bail.



6. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellant, the order dated 25.06.2025 passed by the learned Exclusive Special Judge, S.C./S.T. Act, Patna in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 305 of 2025 is hereby set aside and the appellant above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 305 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly the appeal stands allowed.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

