

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47155 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-623 Year-2021 Thana- LAHERIYASARAI District- Darbhanga

Komal Devi @ Komal Kumari W/o- Indra Kumar Sah @ Indrajeet Sah
Resident of village- Khutauna PS- Lalmania District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anjani Parashar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 30-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Laheriasarai P.S. Case No. 623 of 2021, dated 28.12.2021, lodged under Sections 147, 341, 323, 324, 326(A), 307, 448 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against nine named accused persons, including the present petitioner, alleging that they all entered the house of the informant, brutally assaulted him and his family members, and threw acid on the informant's face, causing burn injuries to him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that the brother of the informant, namely Raj Kishor



Goswami, and the accused person, namely Sonali Kumari, are husband and wife, and their marriage was solemnized three years ago. However, it was not a happy marriage. Due to this, a consolidation was taking place among the family members, which ultimately failed. Consequently, Sonali Kumari registered an FIR against her husband and other family members as Mahila P.S. Case No. 30 of 2021.

5. Counsel further submits that for the settlement of the said case, the family members of the petitioner were sitting together, and during the negotiation, a further dispute took place, which led to the present case. Counsel further submits that the petitioner's name has been included in this case solely with a view to harass and torture the entire family of the petitioner. He also submits that there is nothing specific against the petitioner and his criminal antecedent is clean.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is an allegation of acid attack, however, he fairly submits that it is nowhere indicated who actually carried out the acid attack.

7. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioner be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the



Trial Court within a period of 4 weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the BNSS, 2023 to the satisfaction of C.J.M., Darbhanga, in connection with Laheriasarai P.S. Case No. 623 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

