

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1849 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16962 of 2018

=====

Ramadhar Sharma Son of Late Jamuna Sharma Resident of Village - Kanouli,
Post Office - Kajisarai, Police Station - Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through Sanjay Agrawal, the Secretary Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi -110001.
2. Sanjay Agrawal, the Secretary, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi - 110001.
3. Vivek Agarwal, the Central Registrar, Department of Agricultural and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi - 110001.
4. B. Rajender, The Joint Secretary (Credit and Coop.), Department of Agricultural and Cooperation Ministry of Agriculture Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi - 110001.
5. The Multi Stae Cooperative Land Development Bank Limited, Budh Marg, Patna, through its Managing Director namely Kaushalendra Singh
6. Kaushalendra Singh, The Multi State Cooperative Land Development Bank Limited, Budh Marg, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Dr. K. N. Singh, ASG
		Mr. Sanjeet Kumar Tiwari, CGC
For the O.P. Nos. 5 & 6	:	Mr. Rajesh Prasad Chaudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

7 09-05-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant application has been filed alleging violation of the directions contained in order dated 21.1.2021 passed in CWJC no. 16962 of 2018.

3. On perusal of the show-cause filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 5 and 6, it transpires that the representation of the petitioner has been disposed of vide order dated



15.4.2025 by the Assistant Commissioner (Cooperation), in the Office of the Central Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Ministry of Cooperation, Government of India.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts, in the opinion of the Court, directions of this Court have been substantially complied with.

5. The instant application is dismissed.

6. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to challenge the aforesaid order in accordance with law.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Anushka/Shiv-

U			
---	--	--	--

