

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49796 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2025 Thana- Lokha District- Supaul

1. Nasrin Parveen @ Nasrin Khatoon @ Nasri Khatoon @ Nasirin Prakhn Wife of Abdul Barik @ Abdul Bari R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
2. Bahisa Khatun wife of Mohammad Saddam @ Md. Saddam R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
3. Bibi Kulsum @ Kulsum Khatoon wife of Md. Kadir R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
4. Bibi Samsa Khatoon @ Shamsa Khatoon wife of Mohammad Aiyub @Md. Aiyug @ Md. Aiyub, R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
5. Bibi Samina @ Samina Khatoon wife of Md. Mannan @ Mukhiya R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
6. Afsana Khatoon wife of Mohammad Mustak R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
7. Bibi Amarun Khatoon @ Amriyun Khatoon wife of Md. Mahzum @Md. Mejum R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
8. Samsa Khatoon wife of Faiz Mohammad R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
9. Bibi Johra @ Madhura Wali wife of Md. Alishar R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul
10. Bibi Begam Khatoon @ Begam Khatoon @ Bibi Begam wife of Md. Mustak R/O Gangapatti, P.S.- Laukaha, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Zeyane Hoda, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-08-2025 Heard Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, the learned Senior
counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.



2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 39 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 121(2), 132, 109, 303(2), 324 (4), 352 and 351(2) of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has alleged that during a protest over Md. Jahangir's death, a violent mob of villagers, who were incited by said incident, attacked the police personnel and even vandalized the police vehicle. It is further alleged that the attackers were around 200-250 persons, out of which 57 persons were named, including the petitioners.

4. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and all female accused persons. It is further submitted that there are no specific allegations levelled against the petitioners. It is next submitted that at best the petitioners could be saddled with the allegations of being members of the mob and apparently no police personnel sustained any injury in the said occurrence. All the petitioners have clean antecedents. It has lastly been submitted that ten named accused persons have already been granted bail by a learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 11.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 56649 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer



for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioners above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Laukaha P.S. Case No. 39 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative.
- (ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.
- (iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar



nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners has concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail is allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

