

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49387 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2023 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Saran

Monu Kumar Singh @ Manu Kumar Singh S/o Surendra Singh R/o Village-
Kondh Bhagwanpur, P.O.- Rampur Rudra, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at
Chapra- 841424

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Saran-
Cyber P.S. Case No. 05 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Sections 353, 354, 384, 504, 506 & 509 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 67-A of the Information Technology
Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
petitioner is of harassing the informant by way of creating fake
facebook account with obscene and abusive language in her
name as also of sending obscene videos to her personal mobile.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged



against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case with ulterior motive. He further submits that the obscene videos have been uploaded from mobile number 9527097104 which relates to Reamodpulkrit Jha and not the petitioner. He further submits that the mobile number of the petitioner being 8379094016 has not been used in publishing or transmitting materials containing sexually explicit act in electronic form and, thus, no case under Section 64-A of the I.T. Act is made out against the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 03.03.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence as also taking into account the materials available in the case diary, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from today. If the trial



is not concluded within the period of six months as stated above,
the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the
court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

