

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47726 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2024 Thana- KHAJAULI District- Madhubani

Gauri Shankar Yadav @ Gori Shankar Yadav @ Giri Shankar S/O Laxman
Yadav R/O Village- Markiya, Marukiya, P.S.- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madan Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 429, 436
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that on 09.05.2024 at about 01:00 a.m. while he was sleeping in
his house when he suddenly woke up and saw smoke in the
house, accordingly, came at the door and saw the accused
persons including the petitioner putting the cattle house on fire.
Thereafter, the informant raised an alarm and tried to extinguish
the fire with the help of others but due to high flame, the fire
could not be extinguished immediately. Further, one buffalo,
fodder and twenty quintals of wheat were burnt and one ox died



and a calf was burnt about 60%.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant along with others. It is further submitted that though there is allegation that petitioner along with others put the cattle house of the informant on fire but then the allegation is not specific. It is next submitted that since there is dispute in between the petitioner and the informant from before, as such, he has been implicated in the instant case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that what is not in dispute rather stands admitted from the allegation is that cattle house of the informant was burnt and one ox died and a calf received 60% burn injury and several articles were destroyed in the fire. It is further submitted that investigation is in its nascent stages and if the privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Khajauli P.S. Case No. 86 of 2024 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Madhubani/Successor Court.



7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

