

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50057 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-149 Year-2023 Thana- GWALPARA District- Madhepura

Raja Khan @ Md. Raja S/O Kalim Khan R/O Village- Gwalpara, Ward No.-
06, P.S- Gwalpara, Distt.- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Laxmi Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kalyan Shankar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Gwalpara P.S. Case No.149/2023, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323,
379, 385, 307, 504 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that Raja Khan fired, causing injury to the injured near
his chest and he fell, thereafter Abdul @ Sattar also fired
causing minor injury on his thigh, further Md. Afroj also fired
but missed. It is next alleged that after the informant fell, the
accused persons started assaulting him but then there was an



electricity cut, hence injured tried to flee but he was caught by Md. Hasan and Md. Anjar but he managed to flee by jumping a wall. It is next alleged that the occurrence took place as injured refused to give extortion of Rs.2 lacs.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, on which, the learned APP submits that there is specific allegation against this petitioner of causing firearm injury below the chest of the informant. The learned APP further submits that Md. Afroj Khan @ Md. Afroj and one another had moved this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No. 49413/2025 and the same was rejected by an order dated 11.08.2025, after considering the case in detail.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

