

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12332 of 2025

=====

Baiju Lal Sah Son of Late Radho Sah @ Sadhu Sah, Resident of Village-
Asogi Purushottam, P.S. and Anchal- Bairgania, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector -cum- District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
2. The Additional Collector, Sitamarhi, District- Sitamarhi.
3. The Land Reforms, Deputy Collector, Sitamarhi, Sadar, District- Sitamarhi.
4. The Circle Officer, Bairgania, District- Sitamarhi.
5. Sri Narayan Sah, Son of Sri Kodai Sah, Resident of Village Bhataulia, P.S. and Anchal- Bairgania, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Birendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel (09)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of appropriate order/orders,
writ/writs, direction/directions in the nature
of mandamus commanding the Respondent
No. 4 to mutate the land in the name of
Petitioner bearing Khata No. 593. Khehsra
No. 1903, Area 4 Decimal situated in village
Ashogi Purushottam according to possession
over the land in question;*



(ii) for further issuance of appropriate order/orders, writ/ writs, direction/ directions in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to verify the factum of possession over the land in question and submit a report before this Hon'ble Court during the pendency of the present Writ Application;

(iii) for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna in B.L.T. Case No. 389 of 2016 (Sri Narayan Sah vs. the State of Bihar & Ors.) on 25.10.2018 after setting aside all the orders taking note of the fact that there was no spot verification earlier, directed the Circle Officer, Bairgania (Sitamarhi) to take up the matter afresh and dispose it of in accordance with law.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that without looking into the said order and/or passing final order, the Circle Officer, Bairgania is taking steps detrimental to the cause of the petitioner.



5. Learned State counsel submits that pursuant to the said order, as per his information, the petitioner never made any *online* application.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be preferring an *online* petition within a week.

7. Learned counsel for the State submits that if such *online* application is filed within a week, the Circle Officer, Bairgania shall take up the matter and after noticing all the concerned parties including the respondent no.5, shall be passing a final order.

8. It is expected that the Circle Officer, Bairgania shall be taking up the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest since the BLT order dates back to the year 2018.

9. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

