

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50927 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-282 Year-2024 Thana- NOKHA District- Rohtas

Binod Singh, S/o- Late Suchit Singh, Resident of Village- Chanka P.S-
Nokha, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amrit Lal, Advocate
Mr. Nandu Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Dharendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the State : Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Nokha
P.S. Case No. 282 of 2024 dated 28.07.2024, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2),
115(2), 109, 103, 303(2), 118, 352, 351(2) and 351(3) of the
Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
previous bail petition of the petitioner bearing Cr. Misc. No. 319
of 2025 was rejected by this Court vide order dated 05.03.2025
on merit, seeing the seriousness of nature of the allegation. He
further submits that the only new circumstance in this case is
that length of the custody of the petitioner at present is about 10



months, whereas at the time of rejection of the previous bail petition, he was in custody for about six months. He further submits that even charge has not been framed in this case and trial is likely to get very long time.

4. He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 18.09.2024.

5. It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in view of serious nature of the allegation and period of custody.

8. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for bail stands rejected.

9. However, if the trial is not concluded within next nine months from today, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

shoaib/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

