

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47215 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-165 Year-2025 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Sourav Kumar S/o Bhushan Kumar @ Bhushan Yadav R/o Village- Laguniya,
Ward No. 14, P.S.- Triveniganj, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Chandra Shekhar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Gulnar Begum, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 23-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 165 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 137(2), 140(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accused persons kidnapped the informant's son and demanded Rs. 50,000/- as ransom.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of statement given by victim.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that no cogent or substantive evidence is placed on record to show the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel further submitted that there is direct contradiction between the version of the informant as stated in FIR and the statement of the victim as in the FIR, the informant has alleged that accused persons demanded Rs. 50,000/- as ransom whereas the victim has stated that this petitioner demanded Rs. 5,00,000/- as ransom which casts doubt on the prosecution story. Learned counsel goes on to submit that the present FIR is the retaliatory FIR lodged by the daughter of one of the accused persons being Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 87 of 2025. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 24.04.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 165 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

