

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47242 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-422 Year-2024 Thana- SURSAND District- Sitamarhi

Jay Ram Kumar @ Jai Ram Rai S/o Satrugan Rai @ Shatrudhan Ray, R/o Village- Sursand, Ashok Chowk, Ward No. 14, P.S.- Sursand, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sunil Kumar, Son of Ram Vaman Singh, R/o -Birrakh Ward No - 04 PS - Sursand District- Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Arjun Prasad, Advocate Mr. Hans Lal, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2025 Heard Mr. Surendra Kishore Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Arjun Prasad, learned counsel for the O.P. No. 2 and Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sursand P.S. Case No. 422 of 2024 dated 07.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 96 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The main submissions advanced by the petitioner's



counsel are that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, the so-called victim has been recovered and she has recorded her statement before the Judicial Magistrate under Section 183 of the BNSS, in which she has not supported the allegations levelled by her father in the FIR. It is further submitted that the the prosecution's story narrated in the FIR completely differs from the story narrated by the victim in her statement, which falsifies the allegation.

4. Learned counsel appearing for O.P. No. 2, as well as learned APP for the State, has opposed the petitioner's prayer for bail and submits that there is a serious allegation against the petitioner in the FIR.

5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the above-stated facts and mainly the victim's statement recorded before the Judicial Magistrate, which goes against the prosecution's allegations levelled in the FIR, in my opinion, it is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned in connection
with Sursand P.S. Case No. 422 of 2024, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

