

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49450 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-862 Year-2022 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

=====

Md. Tabrez S/o Md Piyaru R/o Village-Amraha, P.S.- Chakand, District-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sudhir Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Shri Chandra Bhushan Prasad.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in Complaint Case No.
862 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 362 and 365 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this
is the second attempt of the petitioner to seek bail.
4. Learned APP, at the outset, draws the attention of
the Court to the order dated 18-10-2024 in Cr. Misc No. 66480
of 2024, whereby the regular bail of the petitioner was rejected.
Learned APP submits that from perusal of the order dated 18-
10-2024 in Cr. Misc No. 66480 of 2024, it would manifest that
petitioner had earlier approached this Court seeking regular bail
by relying on forged and fabricated document. It is also



submitted that the Court should not show any sympathy for the person who tried to mislead the Court. It is further submitted that it is a case of murder and the informant alleges that the son of the informant was taken by the accused persons including the petitioner to Pune for work but then the son of the informant never returned, as such the FIR was instituted based on suspicion that petitioner was also involved in the occurrence and the petitioner moved this Court by filing aforesaid criminal miscellaneous, wherein he tried to obtain bail by annexing forged and fabricated document.

5. The Court is in complete agreement with the submission made by the learned APP and is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

