

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51344 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-464 Year-2022 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

AFTAB ALAM S/O MD. ISHTEYAQUE R/O VILLAGE- DHOBOPUR, P.S-
HAYAGHAT, DISTT.- DARBHANGA (BIHAR).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. SHAHIDA KHATOON W/O AFTAB ALAM, D/O LATE GULAM RASUL
R/O VILLAGE- SAPRI CHAUK, SHANKARPURA, P.S- HASANPUR,
DIST.- SAMASTIPUR (BIHAR).

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Vinay Kumar Mishra, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Kanhiya Kishor, APP
		Mr.Madhav Kumar, Adv.for OP-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

6 09-07-2025 Pursuant to order of this Court, the matter was referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of Patna High Court, but the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation and as such, mediation failed.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the complainant / opposite party no. 2.

3. The petitioner, who is husband of opposite party no. 2, apprehends his arrest in a complaint case punishable for the offences under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.



4. Petitioner is alleged to have committed torture and harassment with opposite party no. 2 for dowry.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner denies the allegation made in the complaint petition and submits that he never committed torture to opposite party no. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 3,000/-per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the opposite party no.2.

6. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of **Rs. 3,000/- (Rupees three thousand) per month** to the opposite party no. 2, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above-named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand)** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Samastipur in connection with Complaint Case No. 464 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure as well as on the following conditions:-

“(1) Opposite party no. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her savings bank account number for its



communication to the petitioner.

- (2) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid amount per month in the savings bank account of the opposite party no. 2.
- (3) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail-bond.
- (4) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

