

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46791 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-466 Year-2024 Thana- BHABHU(KAIMUR) COMPLAIN C  
District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

=====

Neeraj Singh S/O Late Arun Kumar Singh Resident of Vill.- Flat No. 101,  
Mahamana Nagar Colony, Dwarika Bihar Apartment, Varanasi, P.S.- Lanka,  
Dist.- Varanasi, U.P.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dimpal Singh W/O Late Jalaj Singh, D/O Late Radheshyam Singh Present  
Add. R/O Vill.- Jamalpur Pateri, P.O.- Jamalpur, P.S.- Chand, Dist.- Kaimur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**  
For the Petitioner : Mr. Pawan Kumar Singh, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP  
For the Complainant : Mr. Kiran Kumari Sharma, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      10-12-2025                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
  
petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and  
  
learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant  
  
/Opposite Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a complaint  
  
case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 3 and  
  
4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 05.08.2025, the matter was  
  
referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High  
  
Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 18.11.2025 it is  
  
apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties



could not be resolved through the process of mediation.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with co-accused Jalaj Singh on 08.12.2010 as per Hindu rites and rituals and thereafter, all the accused persons, including this petitioner, started demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment.

5. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely because he happen to be brother-in-law of the complainant. Petitioner is separate in mess and property and has got no concern with the affairs of the complainant and her husband. Allegation of demand of dowry is general and omnibus and no specific accusation of overt act has been alleged against this petitioner. Thrust of accusation is against husband of complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/Opposite Party No. 2. have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory



bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation and clean antecedents of the petitioner, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is allowed.

8. Accordingly, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Bhabua, Kaimur in connection with Complaint Case No. 466 of 2024, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S..

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

