

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46767 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-129 Year-2024 Thana- MAHUA District- Vaishali

Bipin Kumar Singh, S/o Kailash Singh, R/o Village- Ram Chandra Dohji,
P.S.- Sarai, District- Vaishali

Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Madhuri Lata, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 01-08-2025

1. Heard Mr. Shyameshwar Kumar Singh, learned
for the petitioner and Ms. Madhuri Lata, learned APP
te.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with S.Tr. No. 720 of 2024, arising out of Mahua P. S. Case No. 129 of 2024, dated 16.03.2024 registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The main submissions advanced by the petitioner's counsel are that though the instant matter relates to the serious offence of dacoity but against this petitioner there is nothing admissible evidence and he has been made accused and languishing in jail mainly on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Pankaj Kumar recorded by him before the police



which has no evidentiary value and the said co-accused has been granted bail by a co-ordinate bench of this court vide order dated 20.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 37658 of 2025. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 25.04.2024 and after the petitioner was taken into custody, he was not put on test identification parade before the informant and others nor any part of the looted money or ornaments was recovered from his possession and against him the investigation has been completed.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid submissions advanced by the petitioner's counsel and mainly petitioner's custody period and also the fact that in respect of petitioner's involvement in the alleged crime of dacoity, the prosecution is mainly relying upon the confessional statement of co-accused Pankaj Kumar as appears from the trial court's order, except this, the learned APP has not pointed out any material or evidence being against this petitioner, in my opinion, it is a fit case for bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above, be enlarged on bail in connection with Mahua P. S. Case No. 129 of 2024/ S.Tr. No. 720 of 2024, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees



Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to
the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

