

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48718 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2024 Thana- DULHIN BAZAR District- Patna

PINTU KUMAR S/o- Late Vinod Ray Village- Vishunpur PS- Akilpur Dist- Patna Bihar, A/P- Sultanpur Ps- Danapur Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kant Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 25-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T. No. 885 of 2025 arising out of Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 226 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Section 309(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that four unknown persons, one of them armed with weapon and wearing helmet and mask, barged into the cash cabin of Punjab National Bank, Koraiya Sultanpur. They looted ₹20,48,000/- in cash and fled from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of his self-confessional statement of this petitioner. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the looted amount. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 07.09.2024 and has seven criminal antecedents. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 09.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3483 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail, *after framing of charge, if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with S.T. No. 885 of 2025 arising out of Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No. 226 of 2024,



subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(III) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

