

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45923 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-318 Year-2024 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

Md. Faisal @ Md. Phaisal Siddiqui @ Faisal Siddiqui S/O Md. Ekhlaque R/O
Vill.- Budhnagara, P.S.- Bokhra, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rabina Khatoon W/O Late Matiur Rahman R/O Vill.- Budhnagara, P.S.- Bokhra, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Rajendra Prasad Nat, learned APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 318 of 2024 instituted for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 354B, 376, 313, 379, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC').

3. The case of the prosecution is that one Anjaar Ahmad has established physical relationship with the informant on the false promise of marriage. It is also alleged that Anjaar has taken away Six lakh rupees from the informant. Further allegation of the informant is that when she went to complain this petitioner regarding the occurrence, the petitioner being the son of Mukhiya,



he also established physical relationship with her on the pretext of giving justice to her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in this case due to intervention of well-wishers, parties have compromised the case and filed a compromise petition before the trial court. He has also submitted that the son of the informant has given his statement in Paragraph '29' of the case diary and has stated that he has not attributed any allegation of physical abuse against the petitioner and the petitioner was named in the FIR because he had restrained the Opposite party no. 2 from approaching court at an earlier point of time, if it is so.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application of the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 318 of 2024, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Exclusive Special Judge (Rape and POCSO Act), Sitamarhi,



subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. Accordingly, the present bail application stands allowed.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

