

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11219 of 2023

Prashant Kumar S/o Late Shyam Kishore Prasad Singh R/o Ayachi Gram,
Road No.1 P.S.-Ahiyapur, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Under Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Engineer-in-Chief Cum Special Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Chief Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
7. The Zonal Chief Engineer, Muzaffarpur Zone, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar.
8. The Superintending Engineer, Public Health Engineering Circle, Muzaffarpur
9. The Executive Engineer, P.H. Division, Muzaffarpur
10. The Executive Engineer, P.H. Division, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Siyaram Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. S. Raza Ahmad, AAG- 5
		Mr. Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG- 5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 20-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following reliefs:

*“That this is an application for
issuance of a writ/writs, order/orders or
direction/directions commanding the
respondents concerned to dispose off the*



departmental proceeding no.01/2022 which is initiated on an anonymous letter of a private person without following the Bihar Government Servants (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 2005 and Bihar Framing of Articles of charges against Government Servants Regulation, 2017 for which the earlier enquiry conducting officer refused to initiate enquiry due to latches on the part of department before initiating departmental proceeding and it is also for further direction to the respondents to pay difference of salary for the period to which he was suspended and/or be pleased to pass any such other relief or reliefs which may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the relief prayed for in the instant application having become infructuous during pendency of the case, he seeks permission to withdraw this application.

4. In view of the above, the application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saauravkrsinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

