

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.47581 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2025 Thana- CHAINPUR District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Sandeep Kumar @ Sandeep Kumar Singh S/o- Nandu Singh Resident of
village- Mahula P.S. Chainpur, District-Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in Chainpur P. S. Case No.80 of 2025 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109,
103(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B. N. S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that on account of dispute, her daughter in-law
(Puja) went to her parental home and after sometimes, came
back to her matrimonial home and threatened that her family
members are coming to teach them a lesson. Further, accused
persons along with the petitioner came and petitioner along with
Binod assaulted the husband of the informant by bamboo



causing injury on head. Thereafter, Puja and Indrashan Devi assaulted the informant causing injury on head while Nachku, Rahul and Chhotu assaulted her son also named Sandeep causing fracture of hand.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner is brother in-law of Puja and is alleged to have assaulted the husband of the informant along with Binod by bamboo causing injury on head. It is thus submitted that allegation of assault is not specific.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner and Binod of assaulting the husband of the informant by bamboo on head which is vital part of the body leading to his death..

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

