

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.223 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4013 of 2014

=====

Kumari Sunita W/o Tuntun Sahni, R/o Village - Kumarpur, P.O. and P.S.-
Bariyarpur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Welfare Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.d.S., Directorate Welfare Department, Government of
Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Munger Division, Dist.- Munger.
4. The District Magistrate, Munger.
5. The District Programme Officer, Munger.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Munger.
7. Bindu Kumari, W/o Vidut Sharma, Resident of Village Kumarpur, P.O. and
P.S.- Bariarpur, Dist.- Munger.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajesh Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2025 Heard Mr. Rajesh Sinha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, learned counsel for the

State.

*2. The present application is filed
for review of the order dated 26.07.2017
passed by the Hon'ble Justice Prabhat Kumar
Jha in C.W.J.C. No. 4013 of 2014 (order
impugned) to the extent of error of records
whereby the Hon'ble Court has mistakenly
observed and held that the petitioner's*



husband is working on the post of Dalpati, instead of Pvt. Respondent No. 7 whose husband is working as Dalpati in the Panchayat.

3. It is the contention of the petitioner that her husband was never a “Dalpati” which has been wrongly recorded and after some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be approaching the respondent concerned with the appropriate certificates of the local bodies in support of her case.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that reasoned order is there.

5. Now, if there is any change, the petitioner always has the right to approach the appropriate authority in accordance with law.

6. Without commenting on the merit of the case, granting liberty to the petitioner as recorded aforesaid, this review petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

priyanka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

