

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53956 of 2025

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3156 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2024 Thana- BANKA District- Banka

Rupesh Kumar @ Rupesh @ Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Yadav S/o Late Jagdish
Yadav R/o Vill- Ranga, P.S.- Bousi, Distt- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs.Shilpi Keshri, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammed Arif, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Banka P.S. case No.
213 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 302, 201
and 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The earlier bail application of the petitioner was
rejected vide Annexure-P/1 to the present application taking
into account that nature of accusation and the gravity of the
offence and the Trial Court was directed to expedite the trial
expeditiously.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits the trial has still not been concluded and the petitioner is suffering in the custody. The petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. A report was called for from the Trial Court. It has been reported that all prosecution witnesses have been examined and the trial is at the stage of defence evidence. The Trial Court further reported that if defence will cooperate, the case will be likely to be disposed of within a period of 4-6 months.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also regarding stage of the trial, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court.

8. The prayer is rejected. The Trial Court is directed to take all necessary steps to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

9. If the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period of two months, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew



his prayer for bail before the Court below itself.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Pankaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

