

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48288 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-140 Year-2025 Thana- KASIMBAZAR District- Munger

Anand Saw @ Anand Kumar Son of Ramavatar Saw @ Ramotar Sah R/o
Village - Maksuspur, P.S.- Kasim Bazar, District - Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niranjana Parihar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 06-08-2025 Heard Mr. Niranjana Parihar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Kasim Bazar P.S. Case No. 140 of 2025, F.I.R
dated 28.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable under
Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. Recovery is of 45 liters of country made liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in
the present case. He further submits that the allegation as
alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He further submits
that it appears from the F.I.R that nothing has been recovered
from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the



recovery has been made from the motorcycle in question. Petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of the illicit liquor or the motorcycle in question and his name has been transpired on the basis of disclosure made by local people. As per the allegation the petitioner has fled away from the place of occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C / Section 103 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. He further submits that the petitioner has fled away from the place of occurrence and apart from that the petitioner carries three criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in all the three cases.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar**



reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the local people, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge, Excise Court-I, Munger in connection with Kasim Bazar P.S. Case No. 140 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

