

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48668 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2024 Thana- Dagmara District- Supaul

Rajesh Yadav Son of Badri Yadav Resident of Village - Dudhaura, P.S.-
Nirmali, District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Patla Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Navin Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,
149, 447, 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 504 and 506 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that accused persons came and assaulted his brother brutally and
looted the amount, which he was carrying after selling
watermelon and even fired.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the
informant. It is next submitted that allegation of assault is



general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that the date of occurrence is 8-5-2024 and the seizure list was prepared on 12-5-2024 showing recovery of empty cartridge. It is next submitted that the seizure list was manipulated, as it was not prepared on the day when the FIR was instituted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dagmara P.S. Case No. 14 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at



liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

